



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/804,720	03/19/2004	Stephen Dull	60021-379401	7513
29838	7590	08/18/2008	EXAMINER	
OPPENHEIMER WOLFF & DONNELLY, LLP			PARKER, BRANDI P	
PLAZA VII, SUITE 3300				
45 SOUTH SEVENTH STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-1609			3623	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/18/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/804,720	DULL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	BRANDI P. PARKER	3623	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 March 2004.

2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) 1-26 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ .	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ .

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-6, 7-8, 9-14 and 15-17 are drawn to a method for quantifying brand development opportunities, comprising performing a first and/or second analysis chosen from the group comprising image/equity analysis, customized brand pyramid analysis, trade-off analysis, probability analysis, econometric analysis, behavioral analysis and brand pyramid conversion analysis, classified in class 705, subclass 10.
 - II. Claim 18 is drawn to a method for developing a specialized brand pyramid comprising hypothesizing ordered tiers for a brand pyramid, generating membership data for the tiers based on a particular brand, associating the tiers into a draft of a customized brand pyramid, and refining the tiers based on deficiencies in the draft pyramid until the brand pyramid passes a test of acceptability, classified in class 705, subclass 10.
 - III. Claims 19-26 are drawn to a method for clustering brand pyramids into a plurality of archetype groups, comprising hypothesizing definitions for

each of the archetype groups, assigning the brand pyramids to one of the archetype groups based on observation or statistical analysis, refining the definitions for each of the archetype groups, and repeating the steps of assigning and refining until the archetype groups passes a test of acceptability, classified in class 705, subclass 10.

2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
 - a. Inventions I-III are related subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination.
3. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as quantifying brand development opportunities, comprising performing a first and/or second analysis chosen from the group comprising image/equity analysis, customized brand pyramid analysis, trade-off analysis, probability analysis, econometric analysis, behavioral analysis and brand pyramid conversion analysis. Invention II has separate utility such as developing a specialized brand pyramid comprising hypothesizing ordered tiers for a brand pyramid, generating membership data for the tiers based on a particular brand, associating the tiers into a draft of a customized brand pyramid, and refining the tiers based on deficiencies in the draft pyramid until the brand pyramid passes a test of acceptability. Invention III has separate utility such as clustering brand

pyramids into a plurality of archetype groups, comprising hypothesizing definitions for each of the archetype groups, assigning the brand pyramids to one of the archetype groups based on observation or statistical analysis, refining the definitions for each of the archetype groups, and repeating the steps of assigning and refining until the archetype groups passes a test of acceptability. MPEP §806.05(d).

4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
5. Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:
 - (a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;
 - (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;
 - (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);

- (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
- (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

6. **Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.**

7. The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

8. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is

the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BRANDI P. PARKER whose telephone number is (571) 272-9796. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs. 8-5pm.

10. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Beth Boswell can be reached on (571) 272-6737. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

11. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/BRANDI P PARKER/
Examiner, Art Unit 3623

/Andre Boyce/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3623